

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,258	12/11/2001	Nevenka Dimitrova	US010512	2763
24737 75	590 07/25/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			LU, SHIRLEY	
P.O. BOX 3001			ARTUNET	DADED MIMBED
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			2612	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/014,258	10/014,258 DIMITROVA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shirley Lu	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN RR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate the communicate of the	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final.	·	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar Application Papers	ndrawn from consideration. nd/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyone rrection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) □ Intonion	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 5/22/06 have been fully considered but are not persuasive with respect to arguments pertaining to the amended limitations and the applied art of record not teaching the amended limitations. The examiner respectfully disagrees and refers to the grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim(s) 1-2, 4-5, 7-14, 15-16, 18-19, and 21-27 is/are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fuisz (20020128999).

As to claim 1, Fuisz discloses a method in a system, the method:

performing a transaction using a video device, the method comprising the steps of: acquiring a video signal containing a video program (fig. 3, el. 12; [0025-0029]); extracting from said video signal video enhanced content information representative of at least one product presented and marked on the video program (fig. 3, el. 14; [0025-0029]; object information 'marks' the products; pixel data, recorded on an interleaved; overlay screen);

Art Unit: 2612

presenting to the user the video enhanced content information (fig. 3, el. 16 [0028]); receiving a selection of a marked product of interest (fig. 5, el. 25; [0030]; user selects an item, which effectively 'marks' the product of interest; [0006-0008]; [0025-0029]); performing a search to identify data related to the selected product ([0030]); providing the identified data to a user of the video device ([0030-0031]).

As to claims 2 and 16, Fuisz discloses:

said video signal includes metadata (X and Y coordinates describing the position of objects in the video and stored visual outlines are metadata, or data that describes data, [0026-0027]).

As to claims 4 and 18, Fuisz discloses:

The processor is further capable of the step of filtering the video enhanced content information based on preferences customized by at least one user ([0051-0052]; fig. 2, el. 10; [0024]).

As to claims 5 and 19, Fuisz discloses:

said user's preferences include values and life style of the user (demographics [0049]).

Art Unit: 2612

As to claims 7 and 21, Fuisz discloses:

The processor is further capable of the step of prioritizing results of the search performed by the performing step based on predetermined factors (the user may specify which part of the show the lamp appeared [0051]; fig. 2, el. 10; [0024]).

Page 4

As to claims 8 and 22, Fuisz discloses:

the predetermined factors is at least one of user preferences (user specifies which part of the sit-com he prefers the lamp of [0051]).

As to claims 9 and 23, Fuisz discloses:

The processor has a predetermined list for a particular category associated therewith, the processor accessing the predetermined list to perform the search for identified data (product database, may (limitations are met for the same reasons as discussed in claim 1) a list of all lamps on a show [0049-0051-0052]).

As to claims 10 and 24, Fuisz discloses:

Art Unit: 2612

the video signal is acquired from at least one video signal source selected from a group

consisting of a broadcasting system, a content creator, a service provider, and a set-top

box (television program [0027]).

As to claims 11 and 25, Fuisz discloses:

the video signal is separated into a plurality of frames, each frame from at least a

portion of the plurality of frames being subdivided into selectable regions capable of

being selected during the selecting step ([0054]; [0025]; [0059]).

As to claim 12, Fuisz discloses:

the step of receiving and analyzing transaction related information from the user

(marketing data collected [0051-0052]).

As to claims 13 and 26, Fuisz discloses:

The processor is further capable of the step of periodically monitoring said content

information and triggering an action based on user's requests or preferences ([0051-

0052]; [0031]; fig. 2, el. 10; [0024]).

Page 5

As to claims 14 and 27, Fuisz discloses:

the step of making a personalized catalog for the user ([0051-0052]; fig. 2, el. 10; [0024]).

As to claim 15, Fuisz discloses:

A system for performing a transaction using a video device, said system comprising: a set-top box (fig. 2; [0033]) for acquiring a video signal containing a video program, said set-top box including a memory, a processor (fig. 2, el. 10; [0024]) and input/output means associated therewith for transferring the signal (fig. 2, el. 2, 11; [0024]), the processor being capable of

extracting from said video signal video enhanced content information representative of at least one marked product presented on the video program; presenting to the user the video enhanced content information; receiving a selection of a marked product of interest; performing a search to identify data related to the selected product; and providing the identified data to the user (limitations are met for the same reasons as discussed in claim 1);

a video device operatively coupled with the set-top box for displaying the video program, video enhanced content information, and identified data to the user ([0024]); an input device operatively associated with said set-top box for controlling said set-top box (fig. 2, el. 13; [0024]).

Art Unit: 2612

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Page 7

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim(s) 3, 6, 20, 27-28, and 29 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuisz (20020128999) in view of Reichardt (20030056219).

As to claims 3 and 17,

Fuisz fails to specifically teach the step of purchasing the selected product.

In an analogous art, Reichardt discloses a system of television viewers to participate in shopping and the step of purchasing the selected product (fig. 11C, el. 1120; [0099]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Fuisz's system to include the processor is further capable of the step of purchasing the selected product, as taught by Reichardt, for the benefit of allowing the user to act on interactive impulse purchase fulfillment.

As to claims 6 and 20,

Fuisz discloses the identified data includes a source of the product of interest (distributor, vendor [0049]; [0030]).

Fuisz fails to specifically teach the method further comprising the step of negotiating with the product source by offering a price the user is willing to pay other than a price initially offered by the source and outputting results of the negotiation.

In an analogous art, Reichardt discloses a system of television viewers to participate in shopping and the step of purchasing the selected product (fig. 11C, el. 1120; [0099]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Fuisz's system to include the step of negotiating with the product source by offering a price the user is willing to pay (when the user clicks 'NO' the user is willing to pay the price of \$0.00; fig. 10D) other than a price initially offered (initial offer is \$8.95 +ship/tax) by the source and outputting results of the negotiation (if the user chooses 'No' the user returns to full-screen video) as taught by Reichardt ([0096-0098]), for the benefit of allowing the user to act on interactive impulse purchase fulfillment.

As to claim 28,

Fuisz discloses:

A method of performing a transaction using a video device, said method comprising the steps of: acquiring a video signal containing a video program; customizing preferences for at least one user; extracting from the video signal video enhanced content information representative of at least one product presented on the video program; presenting to the user the filtered video enhanced content information; receiving a selection of a product of interest (limitations are met for the same reasons as discussed in claim 1);

Art Unit: 2612

providing feedback information to the user ([0030-0031])

filtering the video enhanced content information based on the preferences (limitations are met for the same reasons as discussed in claim 4);

prioritizing the results of the search and the negotiations based on predetermined factors (limitations are met for the same reasons as discussed in claim 7); performing a search to identify a source of the selected product through at least one predetermined list of information sources for a particular category (limitations are met for the same reasons as discussed in claims 1 and 9);

receiving and analyzing satisfaction response from the user (the user may specify which part of the show the lamp appeared [0051]).

Fuisz does not specifically teach negotiating with the identified product source by offering a price the user is willing to pay other than a price initially offered by the source regarding the selected product and outputting results of the negotiation, allowing the user to authorize purchasing of the selected product, and completing a purchase transaction for the selected product.

In an analogous art, Reichardt discloses a system of television viewers to participate in shopping and the step of purchasing the selected product (fig. 11C, el. 1120; [0099]), and negotiating with the identified product source by offering a price the user is willing to pay other than a price initially offered by the source regarding the selected product and outputting results of the negotiation (see claim 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Fuisz's system to include negotiating with the identified product source regarding the selected product, allowing the user to authorize purchasing of the selected product, and completing a purchase transaction for the selected product, as taught by Reichardt, for the benefit of allowing the user to act on interactive impulse purchase fulfillment.

As to claim 29, Fuisz discloses:

the step of storing said video signal in a storage device (video recorded [0025]).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shirley Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-8546. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SL

JERRERY HOFSASS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600